

forgets / TR
1-28-07

DE

- Have a ball done
on colligues to use
arcs

TR - have a law -
but also upward
on other crims, because
GWB is the most

see IVSPDs Bank Admin

1-28-07

Hyp: add to

"Don't attack him"
("unless he attacks")

- and don't use
unsubstantiated
against him (no
unsubstantiated or
circumstances

["unless authorized by
Congress"]

add -

H.V. 14

Walter D. ... N.C.

J Heiseh:

We must give up
all internal and
in Iraq
pull-out and ~~out~~
region

JH - Sunni attack
Shia because Shia
are supporters of US
Shia retaliate

Real
Heats that overcast
carries +
are carrying news
(vs. 64WB policy)
and that B-61 and 110
are in forward
("threat" / bluff?)

in Feb ~, 2006
America + Iran
Heard

10. Oct 29, 1993

It is general US policy -
Feb 2006 - "for sure, it is

In general, it is
US policy not to deploy
over abroad surface
ships, naval aircraft...

Mark del Valle
882-725-6275

4:15

1-24-07

NUC WAR + AGGRESSION

- So say nuc weapons "have no legal function"
(or practical effect: "useless") other than
det of nuc attack

is absurd? In light of poss. of
preemption (D-L)

or even prevention: from (Brah)

(in light of 9-11:

vs. underground facilities

that might facilitate

giving nucs to Terrorists,

or (further) passing nucs to

perhaps bad.

non-nuc "aggression"

(by UN standards;

as in case of
"brutal riots")

and, co. NRE
facilities, esp. unmanned,
(or distant, many:
SU in 1950's)

extensive front

(or back up conventional
attacks, deter Israeli
NRE attack);
or, attack US oil
interests in ME with
non-NRE attack;

"can't wait for nuclear cloud"

- as part of D-L:

little
collect.
change

{

- Araks at sea

- satellites

- ~~etc~~

and see threats in desert: Israeli wars
1967, 1972

Targets for D-L:

Gulf War 1991

Abraham Lincoln

bombing

(air defenses, in '50's

C?

BMEWS + warning nets

for force action;

or "high orbital pop."

1-24-07

BUT: Could SIOP be justified as D-L?
(P)

Falt: City-busting? (non-use - WWII: local retail)
or

What if: near/in cities:

- air defenses (50's)

- C³

- missiles

- airports:

(see C-II, US)

- sub bases (Leningrad:

Finland)

MCN did not have plans/maps
on MAD: "pure intel, det"!

— D-L vs. SU (or US) may be impossible, since

1964-68 - 72

(though not de-cap!)

~~But~~ BUT what if US (or SU) vs.

others: China (along with BMD)

NIK

(Pol!)

Iran

and others vs. each other?

~~Does UN Charter~~

What if plans for EU - against military
targets ("aggressors")

NATO

Israel

- illegal, vs. military targets?

Pak

- Could be banned: but

new, Russia

is it?

Turkish Straits

- Prompt (acc.) in non-we
conflict?

1-24-07

Does UN Charter confront, deal with,
or ignore

Aggression by Perm 5 ?!

SU in Afghanistan

(UK in Iraq!

Coalition in
Iraq!

see Wilkinson

Holmsted

(not, ? VN

(Were SVN + NVN ever admitted to UN
as sovereign states ?!

If so, was not IVN guilty of
"count aggression"?

What is basis of calling US not illegal?

French?

aggression?

(ICC is a mockery, with US not of
it(?))

What of other Pans 5?

or, "Anti Pans"?

Was US being of Korea cities legal: or...?

If US had used area in Korea?

OR OTHER CASES OF THREATS?!

(SU in C-II, FROBS?
IVATO?)